LOW CARBON NOW

for Multi-Unit Residential Developments in Toronto

The Carbon Trajectory

THE TIME TO ACT IS NOW.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS CUT TODAY ARE WORTH MORE THAN THE CUTS PROMISED IN THE FUTURE.

LOWERING THE CARBON TRAJECTORY

The DECISIONS that we make today will impact the carbon trajectory of a building for its LIFETIME.

The 2019 report by IPCC indicated that we have until 2030 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 45% from 2010 levels to limit global warming to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. Failure to do so could result in unprecedented climate change impacts, paving the way for irreversible and catastrophic impacts on the world at large.

Adapted from: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Special Report

85% Reduction by 2050

40% Reduction by 2030

URGENCY IN TORONTO

As part of Toronto's TransformTO Climate Action Strategy, the City of Toronto is applying the **10% to 2030 still need to be achieved** target to all new development applications. Toronto Green Standard (TGS) v4

A building that is part of the Zero Emissions Building Framework (ZEBF) is required to meet the Emissions Performance Target (EPT) and the Solar Ready Target (SRT) and must be connected to a district energy system or have a renewable energy source delivering the equal of high efficiency cogeneration.

Tiers 3 (2030 - best practice) to 4 (2040 - net zero) are voluntary tiers that are not applicable to the majority of projects.

Implementation:	2018	2022	2025	2028
TGS Version:	v3	v4	v5	v6
	-5.0%	+8.0%	+3.5%	
80 % BY 2050 BASELINE				
Change in Greenhouse Gas Emissions				

Adapted from: City of Toronto Zero Emissions Buildings Framework Report

- The Vintage
- Toronto Green Standard
- Construction
- Municipal Approval

Project Timeline

BUSINESS AS USUAL TIMELINE

A total of 3 months from the time a plan is submitted to the City of Toronto for review to the issuance of a building permit.

Adapted from: Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing

STREAMLINED TIMELINE

Our current timeline of going from design to construction in Toronto is 2 years. Our goal is to reduce this to 1 year by streamlining the process. Our goal is to have a building ready for occupancy in 1 year from the time we start working on the project.

The Site Preparation and Bike Plan Approval are the project on place for all buildings at the early stage. In the end, the developer will receive the Site Plan Approval and Building Permit. This will lead immediate filing to higher quality building.

STREAMLINED PROCESS
IS ACHIEVABLE

1 YEAR FOR UNDERGROUND
EXCAVATION, SHORING & CONSTRUCTION

1 YEAR FOR PODIUM
STRUCTURE - PODIUM TO LEVEL 6

1 YEAR FOR TOWER
STRUCTURE - TOWERS LEVEL 7 AND UP

TEMPORARY HOIST REMOVAL & REMAINING FINISHES

SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION

1 YEAR
FOR CONSTRUCTION

2 YEARS
FOR DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION

CONSTRUCTION TIMELINE

The construction timeline and key milestones need to make an impact on the carbon footprint of the building. Some of the key milestones are:

- DEMOLITION
- SHORING & EXCAVATION
- STRUCTURE - BELOW GRADE
- STRUCTURE - PODIUM TO LEVEL 6
- STRUCTURE - TOWERS LEVEL 7 AND UP
- TEMPORARY HOIST REMOVAL & REMAINING FINISHES
- SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION

CRITICAL PATHWAY

1 YEAR FOR UNDERGROUND
- EXCAVATION
- SHORING
- FOUNDATION

1 YEAR FOR PODIUM
- STRUCTURE
- MECHANICAL
- ELECTRICAL
- PLUMBING
- FIRE PROTECTION
- ARCHITECTURAL

1 YEAR FOR TOWER
- STRUCTURE
- MECHANICAL
- ELECTRICAL
- PLUMBING
- FIRE PROTECTION
- ARCHITECTURAL

KEY CONSIDERATIONS
Reducing the number of underground levels will reduce the amount of excavation and shoring required.

KEY CONSIDERATIONS
Using a concrete structure with a steel structure for the tower will reduce the embodied carbon.

THINKTANK FOR CHANGE NOW

The next 5 years are critical to lowering our carbon footprint. Though we have the technology to achieve net zero, we do not have the time to execute enough to have the impact that is required. Of the 50 homes in the UK in which the Passivhaus standard was applied, only 1 was built. The residential construction has been the slowest to adopt low carbon design in the UK. We need to change the way we do business. We need to work more collaboratively to discuss any risk change new happening as errors and identified three major levers that should be promoted immediately.

Smith + Andersen

What can we do now?

1 BUILD SMARTER STRUCTURES.

A building's structure accounts for about **50%** of its embodied carbon.

According to a study by Hall Structs at University of Toronto, **concrete** is the most widely used material in the world and has a relatively high embodied carbon intensity.

Set by The Institution of Structural Engineers (IStructE), structural systems are categorized into **Tiers 1 to 4** based on the embodied carbon of the building's structural system. The IStructE requires a maximum Tier 2 rating for building with low carbon intentions.

We can calculate the embodied carbon of an archetype 20 storey multi-unit residential tower that is in the City. The breakdown of concrete, steel and timber is based on the typical ratio that is used in the industry. Based on the embodied carbon intensity of each material, we can calculate the embodied carbon of the building. Concrete and steel account for a large portion of the overall carbon.

ARCHETYPE TOWER

40	Units per podium level	4
10	Units per tower level	20
750	Gross floor area (m²) per level	1,500

REDUCE CONCRETE

A. Slab Thickness

Use values for the target typical condition, and estimate the slab thickness for the typical condition. Use the slab thickness to calculate the volume of concrete in the slab.

Slab System	EPC (kgCO2e/m³)	EPC (kgCO2e/m²) @ 250 mm thick
1. Reinforced Concrete Flat Slab	240	60
2. Reinforced Concrete Waffle Slab	240	60
3. Reinforced Concrete Ribbed Slab	240	60
4. Precast Hollow-Core Slab	240	60
5. Precast Solid – Thin Precast Slab	240	60

Adapted from: The Institution of Structural Engineers (IStructE) Report

B. Lateral System

The lateral system combined with the floor slab type will have a significant impact on the carbon footprint of the building. Concrete shear walls are the most common lateral system in Toronto. Steel braced frames can also be used.

Lateral System	EPC (kgCO2e/m²)	EPC Reduction (%)	EPC (kgCO2e/m²)	EPC Reduction (%)
1. Concrete Shear Walls	0.00		0.00	
2. Columns	5.281	0%	4.9	0%
3. Braced Frames	3.914	26%	3.6	26%

EPC values are for the embodied carbon for the lateral system.

C. Underground Levels

Excavation for foundation footings and piles and the construction of underground levels can be a significant source of embodied carbon. Reducing the number of underground levels is one of the most effective ways to reduce the embodied carbon. Each underground level is equal to 4 stories of above ground construction.

Underground Existing System	EPC (kgCO2e/m²)	EPC Reduction (%)	EPC (kgCO2e/m²)	EPC Reduction (%)
1. 4 Levels Below Grade	11,477	408		39%
2. 3 Levels Below Grade	9,069	324	-4%	31%
3. 2 Levels Below Grade	10,811	378	-6%	35%
4. 1 Level Below Grade	6,298	301	-10%	17%
5. No New Levels Below	5,246	309	-10%	16%

LOW CARBON MATERIALS

D. Low Carbon Reinforced Concrete

Type	Description	EPC (kgCO2e/m³)	Reduction (%)
Typical Mixture	Typical concrete mixture for slabs and walls	300	
Low Carbon Mixture	Concrete mixture with 30% cement replacement	240	-20%
Mixture 1	Concrete mixture with 50 cement and 50% fly ash by mass	302	+0%
Mixture 2	Concrete mixture with 50% cement and 50% slag by mass	240	-20%
Mixture 3	Concrete mixture with 50% cement and 50% fly ash by mass	240	-20%
Mixture 4	Concrete mixture with 50% cement and 50% slag by mass	240	-20%
Mixture 5	Concrete mixture with 50% cement and 50% fly ash by mass	240	-20%
Mixture 6	Concrete mixture with 50% cement and 50% slag by mass	240	-20%

Adapted from: The Institution of Structural Engineers (IStructE) Report

Read the full report by ArchDaily here.

2 PRIORITIZE THE ENCLOSURE.

PATHWAYS TO HIGH PERFORMANCE ENVELOPE

IMPORTANCE OF THE ENVELOPE

High-performance enclosures matter for the following reasons:

First and foremost, as the building's thermal energy demand is lowered, the building's carbon footprint is reduced.

Second, if improve a building's resilience to climate change, meaning the building can maintain its temperature and humidity in the event of a power outage.

Third, high-performance enclosures can help reduce the size of the HVAC system, since the space is more comfortable for occupants, which increases the value of the space.

NON-NEGOTIABLE DECISIONS

To achieve optimum balance of THE ENCLOSURE, the following decisions are needed to be made without compromise.

VARIABLE DECISIONS

The following decisions can be optimized to achieve balance.

TGS METRICS

ENERGY USE INTENSITY (EUI)

125	70
kWh/m²	kWh/m²

THERMAL ENERGY DEMAND INTENSITY (TEDI)

90	15
kWh/m²	kWh/m²

GREENHOUSE GAS INTENSITY (GHGI)

13	0
kgCO2e/m²	kgCO2e/m²

Currently, version 3 is predominantly used. As greater emphasis is placed on reducing the carbon footprint of buildings, version 4 is becoming the standard.

CARBON EFFICIENCY RATIO (WWR VALUES)

The enclosure of a multi-unit residential development accounts for roughly 30% of the embodied carbon of the building. Of the enclosure, the windows account for 50% of the embodied carbon. Windows are also the most expensive and unstable portion. Using a window to wall ratio (WWR) of 40% is the most optimal for a building in Toronto. Any WWR beyond 40% would start undercutting the benefits of the insulation.

System Comparison - Including Baseline Cladding

	EMP - PM
Aluminum/Composite Standard Panel - Precast Insulation	13 : 1
Aluminum/Composite Standard Panel - Poured Insulation	13 : 1
Aluminum/Composite Standard Panel - Spray Foam Insulation	17 : 1
Built-up Wall System	23 : 1
High Performance Curtainwall System	36 : 1
Curtainwall System - Triple Glazing	45 : 1

Effective WWR

Global Warming Potential (GWP) - kgCO2e/m²

Read the full report by EDP Southampton here.

WINDOW SHAPE (EFFECT ON R-VALUE)

The following are four different window configurations for a typical 3 bedroom unit in Toronto. The R-value of the wall is R-20 and the R-value of the window is R-5. The R-value of the wall is 4 times greater than the R-value of the window. Therefore, the window is the weakest link in the enclosure. By reducing the size of the window, we can significantly improve the R-value.

Adapted from: City of Toronto Zero Emissions Buildings Framework Report

3 ELECTRIFY HEATING SYSTEMS.

In Ontario, about 90% of emissions is caused by fossil fuel for domestic resources. By switching to Hydro One's electricity, which is 98% emission free, the building's operational carbon is reduced by 90%.

Source: Ontario Power Generation Inc. Annual Report 2019

CARBON TAX

Starting in the year **2019**, the federal government will increase the price of fuel emissions. The cost to a tonne of carbon will increase **$10/ by 2030.**

So electrifying the building, **70% of the savings** will go to the tenants, as the tenants are responsible for the building energy bill.

COST PER TONNE INCREASE OF 240% BY 2030

ELECTRIFYING THE BUILDING WILL RESULT IN **70%** OF THE SAVINGS going to tenants.

Net income from tenants is calculated as:
Gross Income - Expenses

A Call to Action

Developers,

Reduce underground parking.

Do more embodied carbon assessment.

Underground Parking accounts for:

25% of the construction cost

13% of structural embodied carbon

16% of construction embodied carbon

Do we really need the parking?

Pedestrians have resources to alternative transportation.

Architects,

Going from 750 kWh/m² to 700 kWh/m² is a

2.5% more change in the market.

Going from 750 kWh/m² to 700 kWh/m² is a

0.1% more change in the market.

Let's look at ways to get to NET ZERO, NOW.

We can put integrated teams together earlier.

explore various pathways.

build consensus.

prepare for change on the horizon.

City Planners,

The rezoning process is costly for our planet.

Streamline the Approvals Process.

Shorten time between project purchase and construction.

Cut time, save money.

Free up capital for integrated design process.

Quality buildings **FASTER**

Copyright © 2020 EDP Southampton

EDP Southampton